Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,265	CALLEJA, MICHAEL J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Jared W. Newton	3634
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) Jared W. Newton (Examiner).	(3)	
(2) Robert Charles Hill (Attorney for Applicant).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 September 2006	Time: <u>12pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1 and 11		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
RICHARD E. CHILCOT, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		
Stollen L		
(Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/797,265

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed amendments to the claims in order to put the claims in condition for allowance. The Attorney for Applicant agreed with the changes. The "attachment means" was also included into claim 1 so as to avoid a possible lack of antecedant basis error in claim 3..